

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,478	11/13/2000	Brian A. Vulpitta	MA-12957	7902
7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER	
	E, FAGAN, MINNICH	RHEE, JANE J		
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND. OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, -		1745	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/711,478	VULPITTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jane Rhee	1745	



ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 4/12/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Nothis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The following time periods:	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which ee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or				
 a)	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally se above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ne fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period AMENDMENTS	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because ee NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materi appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final	• •				
NOTE: In response to applicant's argument that Schonhorn does not disclose portions, a leader portion fixed to the core, a foam portion, and a usable tape podoes not disclose a length of adhesive tape comprising the three portions, The Schonhorns et al. provides an equivalent function of the tape leader portion, foat	ortion, and further argued that Schonhorn adhesive, foam and tape taught by am strip and usable tape portion wherein				
the tape leader portion is adhered to the core, the foam portion is positioned aft portion is adhered and wrapped around the foam as desired by the applicant we effect by enveloping the outer surface of a non severed core with foam (col. 4 leadhesive disclosed by Schonhorn et al. in col. 5 lines 4-6 functions the same as applicant since it adheres to the foam support portion prior to the foam support	herein the invention produces a collapsible ines 56-col. 5 line 1). Furthermore, the the tape leader portion claimed by the				
tape portion.					
In response to applicant's argument that Schonhorn fails to disclose a usable to the tape foam support portion, in figure 5 applicant discloses a core with a form the core, however fail to disclose the usable tape portion, however, Schonhorn embodiment of a collapsible core according to the invention with is a roll of adher	am portion that is adhesively attached to discloses that figure 5 is an alternate				
portion wherein a foam strip is fixed to the tape foam support portion, although portion is to be inserted on top of the foam portion wherein the invention product outer surface of a non severed core with foam (col. 4 lines 56-col. 5 line 1) (S	not shown in figure 5, the usable tape ces a collapsible effect by enveloping the				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of N 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	Ion-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,10 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 04282005

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER